

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/661,578	09/14/2000	Gina C. Eubanks	SONY-50P3806	9174
75	90 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP			DINH, KHANH Q	
Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, -			2151	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
* Suppkmental			•		
Advisory Action	09/661,578	EUBANKS, GINA C. Art Unit			
	Examiner Khanh Dinh	2151			
The MAILING DATE of this communicat					
THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2004 FAILS T Therefore, further action by the applicant is requi final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	O PLACE THIS APPLICATION I red to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendment of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	N CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCI application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continue			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from	•	,,			
b) The period for reply expires	te of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date oly expire later than SIX MONTHS from the PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH (6(a)). The date on which the petition und the period of extension and the correspondent date of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than three months afte	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex ding amount of the fee. The appropriate ex for reply originally set in the final Office act	EP dension xtension tion; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	ntered because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would requ	ire further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (se	e Note below);		•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	lication in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying	g the		
(d) they present additional claims withou	t canceling a corresponding num	per of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendr	nent		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ recapplication in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT place	the		
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: no	one.				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	n approved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation in independent claims 1, 11, 21 " "conducting a transaction... whrerein said transaction is conducted utilizing information about said intermediary" would require further search and/or consideration.